Tijdschrift voor Genderstudies 2017, Vol. 20, No. 4 www.tijdschriftvoorgenderstudies.nl

Inhoud

Themanummer

Trans*: Approaches, methods, and	d concepts	
Editorial	:	355
In Lak' ech: you are my other me Re-imagining interdisciplinarity as a		34
Aurora Perego and Christine	, ,	
Shifting borderlands	:	359
(Trans) 'Gender refugees' moving to a	ınd through an imagined	
South Africa		
B Camminga		
Trans scripts	3	379
The representation of transgender peo	ople in the media in the	
Netherlands (1991-2016)		
Mariecke van den Berg and Mi	r Marinus	
Trans smuggling in Jean Genet's Our lad	ly of the flowers	399
AINSLIE TEMPLETON		
Trans*ing constructs	,	415
Towards a critical trans* methodolog	y	
T.J. Jourian		
Recensie		
Line Nyhagen and Beatrice Halsaa. Relig	jion, gender and	
citizenship: Women of faith, gender eq	quality and feminism	435
Nella van den Brandt		

Proefschriftsa	menvattingen
----------------	--------------

Katrine Smiet, Travelling Truths: Sojourner Truth, intersectionality,	
and feminist scholarship	443
Saskia Bultman, Constructing a female delinquent self: Assessing pupils	
in the Dutch State Reform School for Girls, 1905-1975	446
Sponsoren	450

Editorial

Trans*: Approaches, methods, and concepts

Looi van Kessel, Liesbeth Minnaard and Eliza Steinbock

TVGN 20 (4): 335-339

DOI: 10.5117/TVGN2017.4.KESS

This special issue continues an inquiry initiated during the same-named conference held at Leiden University in the summer of 2016. An important aim of this conference was to engage with new directions in transgender studies, and in particular to consider the interventions made possible by theorising trans with an asterisk (*) in comparison to its hyphenated use (-). While all versions of prefixial 'trans' should remain grounded in gender expression and experiences, the asterisk also encompasses a wide range of disciplinary and theoretical moves that challenge academic disciplines in new and promising ways. This issue explores the ways in which the asterisk in trans* signals the opening up of colonial and masculinist ways of knowing by shifting to decolonial and non-binary perspectives on disciplinary knowledge production anchored in different geopolitical spheres. The three disciplinary interventions at the heart of this issue are framed by two theoretical essays addressing how to conceptualise and further trans* perspectives. The first essay develops trans* vis-à-vis indigenous Mayan thinking about gender, which shares a relational philosophy of becoming, in order to query broader mechanisms of exclusion. The final essay analyses interviews with American transmasculine college students in which the concept of trans* fosters reflection on the reconfigurations of masculinity in Western gender constructs. These theoretically driven essays open out onto three more disciplinary-focused interventions in which trans* appears in relation to investigations of South-African refugee law, Dutch media representation, and canonical French literature. These articles not only present possible approaches in which trans* facilitates an intervention, but also a sharpening of its particular conceptual and methodological use in different cultural and geographical contexts.

In this assembly of articles, we consider the asterisk in trans* to be a

VOL. 20, NO. 4, 2017 335

337

wildcard for the many possibilities of affixing trans to other terms. Eva Hayward and Jami Weinstein liken the typographical symbol to 'a starfish's limby reach [that] follows trans and attaches to it, attaches it to something else' (2015, p. 198). For them, the asterisk connects, but also breaks open, emphasises, and includes all noncisgender identities. In this sense, the asterisk is meant to break open the category of transgender, transwoman, or transman' (p. 198) by considering the ways in which trans* is 'less about fixity and normativity and more about prefixial movements' (p. 199). The asterisk thus symbolises connectivity by emphasising the many meanings that can be produced through the multiple attachments of the prefix. Trans* then not only denotes transgender practices of transformation, but also ties itself to a multitude of active interventions that transform discourse and knowledge, be it as translation, transnational, or transcultural research. Hence, we heed Susan Stryker and Paisley Currah's call to ask 'what the transgenic, transnational, or translational [...] might have to do with transgender, as well as what heuristic or analytical insights rooted in transgender experience might contribute to such transdisciplinary inquiries' (2015, p. 190). Furthermore, this issue critically reflects on which assumptions and presuppositions are glossed over by the uses of trans in the professional jargon and analytical languages of the many disciplines that engage with gender and sexuality research. We find that trans* creates leverage for scholars in discussions that seem closed, specifically by espousing a critical attitude towards what Anne Fausto-Sterling calls the 'incorrigible proposition' within dominant disciplinary assumptions (2000, p. 19).

In short, trans* has the potential to innovate any discipline with which it comes into contact. As an approach, trans* destabilises binary assumptions about gender and sexuality in ways that can alert a scholar to question their conventional expectations of the material they are analysing. Looking at interviews, literature, television, and other types of media from a trans* perspective reveals issues and raises questions that would be neglected by traditionally binary frameworks for men and women, and even can be applied to the study of trans men and trans women. The queer paradigm can also muddy the analytical distinction between gender and sexuality, such as author B Camminga shows in the context of African gender refugees who seek to 'shift' their gender after having been shifted into the less-than-human borderlands of existence. Working with interview and media sources, Camminga's article 'Shifting borderlands. (Trans) 'Gender refugees' moving to and through an imagined South Africa' illuminates how the conflation of gender identity/expression with sexual prefer-

ences and/or practices all too often proves to be violent and oppressive for trans and non-binary identified people. However, Camminga's participants, who came to South Africa as gender refugees, did not uniformly face discrimination at home, or even from police, which diversifies the picture of how gender interpellation works in various African countries. Nevertheless, South Africa's unique status as the only African country to include gender identity in Constitutional protections makes it a beacon for these migrants, who seek a greater sense of belonging as a trans person. Centring trans* as a perspective for analysing how gender shifts in conjunction to other axes of difference, as our authors accomplish in this issue, reveals the cisgender mechanisms underwriting and limiting topics of study as diverse as forced migration, gay literature, and news reporting.

As many scholars have noted before, the concept of trans* refers to the capacity to transform, transgress, and transcend. While grounded in questions of embodiment, trans does not simply denote a body that transitions, but also connotes a movement across disciplinary and epistemological boundaries. Both movements are discussed in Ainslie Templeton's 'Trans smuggling in Jean Genet's Our Lady of the Flowers' in which they consider smuggling, an illegitimate movement along borders, as a critical framework for exploring concealed and unexpected pathways of knowledge in relation to the work of Jean Genet. Focussing on trans elements and possibilities in and of Our Lady of the Flowers, Templeton demonstrates the overlooked transness of one of the novel's central characters, Divine, but also critically reassesses the limited and reductive canonisation of Genet's person and writing as gay. In this literary reading, trans* initiates movement on various levels of analysis, consequently (re)centring (embodied) knowledges at the margins or outside of Western society and academia. Moving from the inside out, Mariecke van den Berg and Mir Marinus focus on mainstream knowledge production about trans lives by analysing their appearance in major Dutch newspapers and in recent television programmes. In the context of an ever-increasing number of items about transgender people, they extract six dominant transgender scripts by which 'the transgender' has become a distinguished public persona. The cultural scenarios in which certain plots are laid out as life courses reflect mainly a cisgender perspective on trans people as spectacle, but alternative scripts propagated by trans participants and allies on television shows are gaining cultural traction and accord more respectability for trans lives. The article, 'Trans scripts: The representation of transgender people in the media in The Netherlands (1991-2016)' thus signals shifts over the last 25

years influenced by knowledge production within social movements for transgender rights both nationally and globally.

The opening essay to this special issue, 'In Lak' ech: you are my other me: Re-imagining interdisciplinarity as a trans-disciplinary becoming, hones in on our desire to conceptualise trans* as this force that destabilises Western hegemonic thinking by facilitating trans-disciplinary research. Aurora Perego and Christine Quinan employ the feminist framework of intersectionality together with insights from transgender studies in order to bring into view marginalised populations and subjugated knowledges. By unveiling the interdependence of animate and inanimate beings on Earth, the Mayan principle In Lak' ech (you are my other me) has the potential to disrupt not only the notion of a 'proper object' that belongs to specific disciplines, but also that of the 'proper subject' (i.e. disciplinary hierarchies per se). Through this principle, they bring together decolonial disruptions of Western academic disciplinary models with transgender studies methods for questioning and transforming academic exclusions. As T.J. Jourian argues in the closing essay of the issue, trans* is also a methodological move - trans*ing - that casts interdisciplinary research as a process that 'forces us to consider the relational and fluid natures of identity, as well as of methodological practices, particularly with minoritised populations' (this issue). In "Trans*ing constructs: Towards a critical trans* methodology,' Jourian examines interviews with several transmasculine college students and contends that trans*ing as an analytical method foregrounds taken-for-granted constructions of gender expression. Trans*ing brings to light that the transness of the interviewees is achieved by the ways in which they continuously negotiate their own embodiment of masculinity (or lack thereof) with preconceived conceptions of masculinity that are often imposed on them by society.

'Trans*: Approaches, methods, and concepts' aims to highlight the many scholarly possibilities that looking through a trans* lens elicits. The essays in this issue showcase disciplinary, analytical, and geographic diversity as well as different methodological engagements with the uses of trans*. Moreover, they bring a broad range of objects under scrutiny. The articles collected in this issue suggest some of the many possible threads to follow in the ever-expansive field of transgender studies. The prefix of trans, and especially the connectivity signalled in the trans* iteration, continues to prove vital to making interventions within different academic disciplines. These examples of trans* interventions are by no means exhaustive, but rather show how the potential of trans* is spread widely across disciplines, cultural contexts, and geographical locations. We offer

this special issue to you, dear reader, with the hope that it will encourage all to further trans* movements and trans* connectivity.

Bibliography

- Fausto-Sterling, A. (2000). Sexing the body: Gender politics and the construction of sexuality. New York: Basic Books.
- Hayward, E., & Weinstein, J. (2015). Introduction: Transmalities in the age of trans* life. TSQ: Transgender Studies Quarterly, 2(2), 195-208.
- Stryker, S., & Currah, P. (2015). General editors' introduction. TSQ: Transgender Studies Quarterly, 2(2), 189-194.

338 VOL. 20, NO. 4, 2017 VAN KESSEL, MINNAARD & STEINBOCK 339



Somatechnics presents thoroughly multi-disciplinary scholarship on the body, providing a space for research that critically engages with the ethico-political implications of a wide range of practices and techniques. The term 'somatechnics' indicates an approach to corporeality which considers it as always already bound up with a variety of technologies, techniques and technics, thus enabling an examination of the lived experiences engendered within a given context, and the effects that technologies, technés and techniques have on embodiment, subjectivity and sociality.

Editors

Dr Sheila L. Cavanagh, Associate Professor, York University

Dr Holly Randell-Moon, Senior Lecturer, Media, Film and Communication, University of Otago

Dr Iris van der Tuin, Associate Professor, Liberal Arts and Sciences, Utrecht University

Reviews Editor

Dr Cáel M. Keegan, Grand Valley State University

Associate Editors

Associate Editor (Disability Studies):
Professor Rosemarie Garland-Thomson,
Emory University, USA
Associate Editor (Law):
Professor Marie Fox, University of Liverpool, UK
Associate Editor (Trans and Queer Studies):
A/Professor Susan Stryker, University of Arizona, USA
Associate Editor (Critical Race and Whiteness Studies):
A/Professor Joseph Pugliese, Macquarie University

Associate Editor (Philosophy):
Professor Margrit Shildrick, Linköping University,
Sweden

Associate Editor (New Media Technologies):
Dr Grayson Cooke, Southern Cross University, Australia
Associate Editor (History of Discourses of Medicine):
Dr Elizabeth Stephens, University of Queensland

Editorial/Advisory Board Members

Professor Bernard Andrieu, Nancy Université, France Professor Ian Buchanan, University of Wollongong, Australia

Professor Lawrence Cohen, University of California, Berkeley, USA

Professor Nick Crossley, University of Manchester, UK Emeritus Professor Rosalyn Diprose, University of New South Wales, Australia

Professor Lisa Downing, University of Birmingham, UK A/Professor Patricia Elliott, Wilfred Laurier University, Canada

Professor Arthur Frank, University of Calgary, Canada Dr Giovanni Frazzetto, ICI Berlin, Germany Professor Michele Bratcher Goodwin, University of California, Irvine School of Law, USA Dr Malena Gustavson, Linköping University, Sweden Professor Terence Hull, Australian National University,

Professor Emily Jackson, London School of Economics, UK Professor Paul Komesaroff, Monash University, Australia Professor Karmen MacKendrick, LeMoyne College, USA Professor Emilio Mordini, Rome, Italy
Dr Iain Morland, independent academic
Professor Sally R Munt, University of Sussex, UK
A/Professor Suvendrini Perera, Curtain University,
Australia

Professor Victoria Pitts-Taylor, Wesleyan University, USA Professor Rajagopalan Radhakrishnan, University of California, Irvine, USA

Dr Celia Roberts, University of Lancaster, UK Dr Marsha Rosengarten, Goldsmiths College, University of London, UK

Professor John Simons, Macquarie University, Australia A/Professor Jennifer Terry, University of California, Irvine, USA

Professor Michael Thomson, Keele University, UK Professor Chris Weedon, Cardiff University, UK Professor Gail Weiss, The George Washington University, USA

Professor David Wills, State University of New York at Albany, USA

This journal is available online at www.euppublishing.com/soma

ISSN: 2044-0138 eISSN: 2044-0146

Australia

Published by Edinburgh University Press, The Tun – Holyrood Road, 12(2f) Jackson's Entry, Edinburgh EH8 8PJ. Email: journals@eup.ed.ac.uk

www.euppublishing.com/journals

© Edinburgh University Press, 2018. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopied, recorded or otherwise without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or a licence permitting restricted copying issued in the UK by The Copyright Licensing Agency Limited,

Somatechnics

Volume 8 Number 1 2018

Edited by

Sheila L. Cavanagh, Holly Randell-Moon, Iris van der Tuin and Malena Gustavson

Special Issue: Cinematic Bodies

Guest Editors: Cáel M. Keegan, Laura Horak and Eliza Steinbock

Edinburgh University Press

Subscription rates for 2018

Two issues per year, published in March and September

		Tier	UK	EUR	RoW	N. America
Institutions	Print& online	1	£97.00	£104.00	£109.00	\$185.00
		2	£121.00	£128.00	£133.00	\$226.00
		3	£151.00	£158.00	£163.00	\$277.00
		4	£181.00	£188.00	£193.00	\$328.00
		5	£206.00	£213.00	£218.00	\$371.00
	Online	1	£82.00	£82.00	£82.00	\$139.00
		2	£103.00	£103.00	£103.00	\$175.00
		3	£129.00	£129.00	£129.00	\$219.00
		4	£154.00	£154.00	£154.00	\$262.00
		5	£174.00	£174.00	£174.00	\$296.00
	Additional print volumes		£85.00	£92.00	£97.00	\$165.00
	Single issues		£67.00	£70.00	£73.00	\$124.00
Individuals	Print		£34.00	£41.50	£46.00	\$78.00
	Online		£34.00	£34.00	£34.00	\$58.00
	Print & online		£42.00	£49.50	£54.00	\$92.00
	Back issues/single copies		£19.00	£22.50	£25.00	\$42.50

How to order

Subscriptions can be accepted for complete volumes only. Print prices include packing and airmail for subscribers outside the UK.

All orders must be accompanied by the correct payment. You can pay by cheque in Pound Sterling or US Dollars, bank transfer, Direct Debit or Credit/Debit Card. The individual rate applies only when a subscription is paid for with a personal cheque, credit card or bank

To order using the online subscription form, please visit www.euppublishing.com/soma/ page/subscribe

Alternatively you may place your order by telephone on +44 (0)131 650 4196 or email to journals@eup.ed.ac.uk using your Visa or Mastercard credit card.

Please make your cheque payable to Edinburgh University Press Ltd. Sterling cheques must be drawn on a UK bank account.

If you would like to pay by bank transfer or Direct Debit, contact us at journals@eup.ed.ac.uk and we will provide instructions.

Advertising

Advertisements are welcomed and rates are available on request, or by consulting our website at www.eupjournals.com. Advertisers should send their enquiries to the Journals Marketing Manager at the address above.



Contents

Introduction	
Cinematic/Trans*/Bodies Now (and Then, and to Come) Cáel M. Keegan, Laura Horak, and Eliza Steinbock	71. 11.8
Articles	
Making Trans Cinema: A Roundtable Discussion Jules Rosskam with Felix Endara, Reina Gossett, Chase Joynt, Jess Mac, and Madsen Minax A Horse Is a Horse, Of Course, Of Course: Animality, Transitivity, and the Davids Teles.	14
Transitivity, and the Double Take Jennifer M. Barker	27
Bodies in Transition: Somatechnics and the Experimental Art of Liselotte Wajstedt's Sámi Nieida Jojk (Sámi Daughter Yoik, 2007) Kate Moffat Panic! Humanity's Cis-Heteronormative Fear of the Transgender Android	48
An Sasala	64
Passing Glances: Recognizing the Trans Gaze in Mulholland Drive Joshua Bastian Cole Cutting Camp with Killing: 'Bad' Feelings, Refusing Respectability, and Homeopathic Camp Josh Morrison	79 95
Reviews	
Film Review: Raw's Anxious Embodiments Reviewed by Sean Donovan Scott Richmond, Cinema's Bodily Illusions Reviewed by Geneveive Newman	113 119
Afterword	
Of Bodies, Changed to Different Bodies, Changed to Other Forms	
Eugenie Brinkema	125
List of Contributors	137

137